NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FIVE

THE PEOPLE,	B256673
Plaintiff and Respondent,	(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. YA087964)
v.	
DIMITRI SEVERNE WILSON, JR.,	
Defendant and Appellant.	

APPEAL from an order of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Hector M. Guzman, Judge. Affirmed.

William J. Capriola, under appointments by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.	

Defendant and appellant Dimitri Severne Wilson, Jr., entered into a case settlement agreement with the prosecution. Under the agreement, defendant entered pleas of no contest to count 3 (first degree burglary with a person present, in violation of Pen. Code, § 459)¹ and 5 (sexual battery by constraint, in violation of § 243.4, subd. (d).) In return for his pleas, defendant was sentenced to state prison in count 3 for four years, with a concurrent term of two years in count 5. Defendant was ordered to register as a sex offender under section 290. The trial court imposed the agreed upon sentence, and as amended, awarded defendant credit for 51 days served and 7 days of good time/work time credit.

Defendant, in propria persona, filed a postjudgment motion for good time/work time credits on a one-for-one basis with his custody credits, relying on section 4019, subdivision (f). The trial court denied the motion for additional credits on the ground that defendant was convicted of a violent felony and therefore not entitled to more than the 15 percent good time/work time credits he was awarded. Defendant appeals from denial of the postjudgment order.

Counsel was appointed to represent defendant on appeal. Appointed counsel filed a brief raising no issues, but requested this court to independently review the entire appellate record for arguable contentions under *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. The court advised defendant of his right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days. No supplemental brief has been submitted by defendant.

We have independently reviewed the record and find no arguable appellate contentions. Defendant was convicted in count 3 of burglary with another person present, which is a violent felony. (§ 667.5, subd. (c)(21).) Presentence conduct credit for a defendant convicted of a violent felony is limited to no more than 15 percent of the presentence custody credit. (*People v. Lara* (2012) 54 Cal.4th 896, 901; § 2933.1.) Defendant's postjudgment motion for additional credits was properly denied.

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

The order denying additional credits is affirmed.

KRIEGLER, J.

We concur:

TURNER, P. J.

MOSK, J.